Zinc finger transcription factors *BnaSTOP2s* regulate sulfur metabolism and 1

confer resistance to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum in Brassica napus

Lihong Dai^{1†}, Zhaoqi Xie^{2†}, Tianxu Ai¹, Yushun Jiao¹, Xiaoyi Lian¹, Angchen 3 Long¹, Jinyun Zhang¹, Guangsheng Yang^{1,3*} and Dengfeng Hong^{1,3,4*}

4

- 2. Lushan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Jiujiang, China 7
- 3. Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Wuhan, China 8
- 4. Yazhouwan National Laboratory, Sanya 572024, Hainan, China 9
- 10

2

- [†]These authors contributed equally to this work. 11
- *Correspondences: Guangsheng Yang and Dengfeng Hong (gsyang@mail.hzau.edu.cn 12 and dfhong@mail.hzau.edu.cn) 13

14 ABSTRACT

Rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) has a high sulfur requirement for optimal growth, 15 development, and pathogen resistance. In this study, we identified zinc finger 16 17 transcription factors, BnaSTOP2s, that play key roles in sulfur metabolism and Sclerotinia sclerotiorum resistance. First, our results suggested that BnaSTOP2s are 18 involved in sulfur as evidenced from extensive protein interaction screening. Knockout 19 20 of BnaSTOP2s reduced the response sensitivity in both sulfur-deficient and sulfurexcessive conditions by promoting the elongation of primary roots of seedlings. 21 Furthermore, the content of essential sulfur-containing metabolites, including 22 glucosinolate and glutathione, were substantially down-regulated in roots and leaves of 23 24 Bnastop2 mutants, which is consistent with the significantly lowered transcriptional levels of key players of GSL synthesis and transportation, *BnaMYB28s* and *BnaGTR2s*, 25 respectively. Through comprehensive RNA-seq analysis, we revealed the substantial 26 effect of BnaSTOP2s on sulfur metabolism from source to sink. Additionally, we 27 28 observed a significant decrease while increase in leaf lesion sizes of the BnaSTOP2-OE and Bnastop2 mutants, respectively, when compared to the wild type during Sclerotinia 29 sclerotiorum infection, suggesting the vital role of BnaSTOP2 in plant defense response. 30 Overall, our findings highlight that BnaSTOP2s seems to be global regulators of sulfur 31 metabolism and confer resistance to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum infection in B. napus. 32 33 Keywords: Brassica napus, sulfur metabolism, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, BnaSTOP2,

^{1.} National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, College of Plant Science 5 and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China 6

34 glucosinolate, CRISPR/Cas9

35

36 **INTRODUCTION**

37 Sulfur, as a vital nutrient in plants, plays a pivotal role in the biosynthesis of amino acids and proteins, which are indispensable for the intricate processes of plant 38 growth and development (Narayan et al., 2022). Plants can optimize the utilization of 39 available sulfur to meet the demands for higher yield, superior quality, and enhanced 40 stress resistance (Kopriva et al., 2019). The principal source of sulfur in plants is sulfate, 41 42 which is assimilated from the soil through the roots (sulfur source) and transported by sulfate transporters (SULTRs) to various organs (sulfur sink), such as leaves, siliques 43 and seeds (Hawkesford and De Kok, 2006; Zhao et al., 2008). Upon entering the plastid, 44 sulfate is catalyzed by ATPS (ATP sulfatase) to form APS (adenosine 5-phosphate 45 sulfonic anhydride) due to its instability (Takahashi et al., 2011). APS is further reduced 46 to SO_3^{2-} by APR, and then reacts with ferriredoxin under the action of sulfite reductase 47 to form S²⁻. Subsequently, under the influence of serine acetyltransferase (SAT) and O-48 acetylserine (thiol) lyase (OASTL), S²⁻ and O-acetylserine react to produce cysteine 49 (Wirtz et al., 2004), representing a crucial step in the conversion of inorganic sulfur to 50 organic compounds. Additionally, sulfur is present in sulfur-containing cofactors like 51 52 biotin, thiamine, and coenzyme A, which are vital for enzymatic reactions and metabolic processes in plants. 53

54 Moreover, sulfur also actively participates in the synthesis of various secondary metabolites, such as glucosinolate (GSL) in plants belonging to the Brassicaceae family 55 and S-alk(en)yl cysteine sulfoxides in species from the Allium genus, contributing to 56 defense mechanisms and plant adaptation (Francioso et al., 2020). Amongst these 57 compounds, cysteine assumes a pivotal role in the biosynthesis of organic sulfur 58 compounds. It becomes integrated into proteins and the tripeptide glutathione, while 59 concurrently acting as the sulfur-based precursor for the synthesis of the indispensable 60 amino acid methionine (Takahashi et al., 2011). Then there is a wide range of known 61 62 sulfate metabolites that play various roles in plant resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Capaldi et al., 2015), with GSL considered as significant storage forms of 63

sulfur (Aarabi et al., 2020). GSLs and their hydrolysis products such as isothiocyanates 64 (ITCs), nitriles, and thiocyanates, participate in conferring plant resistance against 65 fungal pathogens, particularly Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (S. sclerotiorum) (Chittem et al., 66 2020; Hunziker et al., 2020; Sotelo et al., 2015; Stotz et al., 2011), as well as plant 67 innate immunity (Chen et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). In summary, sulfur is a vital 68 element for diverse biological processes, playing a pivotal role in plant growth, 69 development, and adaptation to the environment. Its presence in a wide array of 70 71 compounds, contributes to fortifying defense mechanisms against pathogens.

Rapeseed (Brassica napus, AACC, 2n=38) has a high nutrient requirement of 72 sulfur (Grant et al., 2012; Verma et al., 2022). Meanwhile, like Arabidopsis, it also 73 produces abundant GSLs, a high content sulfur-containing secondary metabolites, in 74 roots, leaves and silique walls, a large part of which are destinated to seeds (Petersen et 75 al., 2002; Sanden et al., 2024), though GSLs have been dramatically reduced in canola-76 type (also call 'double-low') rapeseed. As rapeseed has gained importance as one of 77 the main edible plant oil sources worldwide and also an agricultural industrial crop due 78 79 to its diverse applications (Chao et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018), an increasing attention should be paid to understand how sulfur mediates the developmental process as well as 80 the yield and quality formation in *B. napus*. 81

STOP2 (Sensitive to Proton Root Toxicity 2), a Cys(2)His(2)-type zinc finger 82 transcription factor, has garnered relatively less attention compared to its 83 unique homologue STOP1 (Sensitive to Proton Root Toxicity 1), which can directly 84 engage with the promoter of the NITRATE TRANSPORTER 1.1. This interaction leads 85 to the activation of transcription in response to low pH values, consequently resulting 86 in the upregulation of nitrate absorption (Ye et al., 2021). Nevertheless, STOP2, albeit 87 being a physiologically minor isoform of STOP1, possesses the capacity to activate 88 gene expression in a similar manner like STOP1 (Kobayashi et al., 2014). Here we 89 conducted a comprehensive phenotyping and multi-omics analysis based on the 90 knockout and over-expression mutants of BnaSTOP2 genes in B. napus. According to 91 92 our data, we have established that BnaSTOP2s are global regulators of sulfur metabolism and play a significant role in resistance to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum in B. 93

94 *napus*.

95

96 **RESULTS**

97 Characterization of *BnaSTOP2* homologues in *B. napus*

A comparative analysis showed that STOP2 shares only 34.7% sequence 98 similarity with STOP1 in amino acid sequence in Arabidopsis (Supplemental Figure 1), 99 suggesting the possibility of functional divergence between two members. To explore 100 101 the evolutionary conservation and functional relevance of BnaSTOP2, we conducted a sequence comparison between all the homologous of STOP2 within B. napus. Using 102 STOP2 as a query, we identified six homologous genes according to the sequence 103 104 similarity in the genome of *B. napus*, and they can be divided into two groups, with BnaA02.1.STOP2 showing a much higher sequence similarity with STOP2 than the 105 other five copies (Figure 1A). All the BnaSTOP2 homologues in B. napus encompass 106 two highly conserved zinc finger domains, strategically positioned within the central 107 108 and C-terminal regions of its amino acid sequence (Supplemental Figure 2A), suggesting a potential functional redundancy among these genes. 109

To explore the biological function of BnaSTOP2s, we used the CRISPR-Cas9 110 tool to knockout BnaSTOP2 genes (Supplemental Dataset 1). We obtained a 111 112 heterozygous sextuple mutant *stop2-11* in the T₀ generation, from which the offsprings lines, stop2-11-7 and stop2-11-22, emerged as homozygous sextuple mutants in the T₁ 113 generation (Figure 1B). These mutants exhibited base substitutions, deletions, and 114 insertions that resulted in amino acid alterations, frameshifts, or premature stop codons 115 116 in different paralogues. Consequently, there were substitutions and truncations in the zinc finger domain at the amino acid level (Supplemental Figure 2). To simplify our 117 investigation and gather more focused insights, we prioritized the sextuple mutant line 118 stop2-11-7 (designated as Bnastop2) for further analysis. Initially, RT-qPCR analyses 119 120 revealed that BnaSTOP2 exhibits primary expression in roots, and the expression were markedly down-regulated in both roots and leaves of *Bnastop2* mutants (Supplemental 121 Figure 3, Supplemental Dataset 2). These findings shed light on the tissue-specific 122 regulatory mechanisms associated with *BnaSTOP2* and emphasized its potential role in 123

124 roots.

Considering that STOP1 contributes to nitrate uptake and nitrogen metabolism 125 in Arabidopsis (Tokizawa et al., 2023; Ye et al., 2021), we endeavored to unravel the 126 potential involvement of *BnaSTOP2* in nitrogen metabolism. As shown in Figure 1C 127 and Supplemental Dataset 3, though our analyses revealed a reduction of nitrogen 128 content in the shoots of *Bnastop2* mutants, the magnitude of change seemed slight; 129 moreover, no significant variation was observed in the roots. These findings suggested 130 that *BnaSTOP2s* may play other roles more than in influencing nitrogen metabolism in 131 shoots. 132

133

134 *BnaSTOP2* is involved in regulating sulfur metabolism in *B. napus*

It is noteworthy that Zinc finger structural proteins generally act as 135 transcriptional factors to regulate the gene expression of functional genes (Noman et 136 al., 2019). To discover the potential functions of BnaSTOP2 genes that contains two 137 conserved Zinc finger domains, we attempted to identify the interaction proteins of it 138 139 by exploring a yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) library screening. BnaA02.1.STOP2, that shares a protein sequence similarity of 71% with STOP2 (Figure 1A), was used as a bait 140 protein. A comprehensive set of 399 interacting proteins was identified (Supplemental 141 Dataset 4). Further examination of the KEGG database unveiled that these interacting 142 proteins are implicated in metabolism pathways, crucial pathways encompassing 143 oxidative phosphorylation, mRNA surveillance pathway, amino acid biosynthesis, 144 145 sulfur metabolism and citrate cycle (TCA cycle) (Figure 2A).

Among these interaction proteins, nine proteins related to sulfur metabolism 146 have been identified more than three times (Figure 2B, Supplemental Figure 4). These 147 genes are distributed across pathways associated with sulfur assimilation, cysteine and 148 methionine biosynthesis, GSL, and glutathione (GSH) metabolism. For example, 149 BnaA01G0203700ZS is homologous to Arabidopsis ATP SULFURYLASE 1 that 150 encodes an ATP sulfurylase, the inaugural catalyst in the sulfate assimilation pathway 151 (Hatzfeld et al., 2000). BnaA01G0120900ZS is homologous to Arabidopsis APS 152 REDUCTASE 3 that encodes a protein disulfide isomerase-like (PDIL) protein and 153

responsible for reducing sulfate for cysteine biosynthesis (Setya et al., 1996). 154 BnaA04G0278900ZS is homologous to Arabidopsis O-ACETYLSERINE (THIOL) 155 LYASE B that encodes the isoform OASB of O-acetylserine (thiol) lyase (OAS-TL), 156 and facilitates the enzymatic conversion of O-acetylserine and inorganic sulfide into 157 cysteine (Heeg et al., 2008; Wirtz et al., 2010). BnaA01G0197100ZS is homologous to 158 Arabidopsis SERINE/THREONINE PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE 2A that encodes the B' 159 regulatory subunit of PP2A (AtB'gamma), involved in S-adenosylmethionine cycle and 160 indole GSL biosynthetic process (Rahikainen et al., 2017). BnaA05G0156600ZS is 161 to Arabidopsis EPITHIOSPECIFIER PROTEIN that homologous 162 encodes Epithiospecifier protein and involved in GSL catabolic process (Burow et al., 2008; 163 Buxdorf et al., 2013). Moreover, BnaA09G0061600ZS is homologous to Arabidopsis 164 GAMMA-GLUTAMYL CYCLOTRANSFERASE 2;1 (GGCT2;1), a gene that regulates 165 GSH metabolism of important sulfur-containing secondary metabolites (Ito et al., 2022; 166 Paulose et al., 2013), and BnaA01G0165000ZS is homologous to Arabidopsis AT2S2, a 167 catalytic enzyme that regulates sulfur-containing storage proteins (Fujiwara et al., 168 169 2002), were also detected in interactions. Interestingly, genes involved in the regulation of plant-pathogen interactions and lipid metabolism pathways were identified. Among 170 them, BnaA01G0060300ZS is homologous to Arabidopsis WRKY11 that participates in 171 defense reactions against bacteria (Ali et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2016), and 172 BnaC04G0080200ZS is homologous to lipid transfer protein LTP1 (Li-Beisson et al., 173 2015). Further random verification using split-luciferase complementation (SLC) 174 confirmed the interactions of BnaA08.ASB1, BnaA01.AT2S2, and BnaC08.bZIP53 175 with BnaA02.1.STOP2 identified in the Y2H screen (Figure 2C). These findings hinted 176 177 that BnaSTOP2 encompasses the intricate regulatory network governing sulfur 178 metabolism beyond nitrogen metabolism.

179

BnaSTOP2 is associated with the response sensitivity in both sulfur-deficient and sulfur-excessive conditions

182 Sulfur metabolism has been unequivocally linked to the proliferation and 183 maturation of roots (Maruyama-Nakashita et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2014). Then, we

observed the root growth of the *Bnastop2* mutants under different levels of sulfur supply 184 for 2 weeks. As shown in Fig. 2D&E, Bnastop2 seedlings exhibited a three-fold 185 increase in the length of their primary roots and more robust shoot development in 186 comparison to the WT (13CK) without sulfur supply (S0, 0 μ M). Under the condition 187 of S30 (30 µM), the primary root length in the WT were comparable to that in Bnastop2 188 mutants; however, when individually compared to that of S0, the WT and Bnastop2 189 mutants showed opposite tendency in primary root length, as it has been almost doubled 190 191 in the WT while notably reduced in *Bnastop2* mutants (Figure 2D-2E). When the sulfur supply reaches a high concentration (S100, 100 µM), the primary root growth of the 192 WT and *Bnastop2* were both severely inhibited; comparatively, *Bnastop2* has a longer 193 primary root than the WT (Figure 2D-2E). Summarized, whether under the sulfur-194 195 deficient or sulfur-excessive conditions, the WT were more sensitive to sulfur supply than Bnastop2 mutants. These findings underscored a crucial role of BnaSTOP2 in 196 sulfur-mediated primary root growth, implying that *BnaSTOP2* may be a key regulator 197 in plant growth responses to sulfur availability. 198

199

200 BnaSTOP2 plays a critical role in maintaining sulfur metabolism in B. napus

To comprehensively elucidate the metabolic alterations in roots of Bnastop2 201 mutants, we employed a widely targeted metabolomics analysis, quantifying 362 202 203 metabolites through LC-MS. Our investigation identified a subset of 36 metabolites exhibiting significant up-regulation and 18 metabolites displaying notable down-204 regulation (Supplemental Figure 5A-5B, Supplemental Dataset 5). KEGG analysis 205 revealed that these differential metabolites were primarily associated with the 206 biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, GSL biosynthesis, and 2-oxocarboxylic acid 207 metabolism (Figure 3A). A more detailed examination of the differential metabolites 208 unveiled substantial increases in 5-methylthiopentyl GSL, 1,7-Dimethylxanthine, 8-209 210 methylthiooctyl GSL, Riboflavin 5'-Adenosine Diphosphate, and L-serine levels, while 3-(Methylthio)propyl GSL levels were significantly reduced in the roots of Bnastop2 211 mutants (Supplemental Figure 5C). These findings confirmed the significant impact of 212 BnaSTOP2 on the overall metabolic profile of roots, with a particularly notable impact 213

on GSL metabolism.

Sulfur-containing secondary metabolites, such as GSL and GSH, are integral 215 components of the sulfur reservoir in plants (Jez, 2019; Venditti and Bianco, 2020). 216 Thus, we measured the GSL content in various tissues of the *Bnastop2* mutants by LC-217 MS. We quantified a total of 12 GSLs, encompassing aliphatic GSLs (SIN- Sinigrin, 218 GER- Glucoerucin, GRA- Glucoraphanin, NAP- Gluconapin, GAL- Glucoalyssin, 3-219 MP- Glucoiberverin, 4-MB- Glucosativin, 4M-3B- Glucoraphenin), indolic GSLs 220 221 (NEO- Neoglucobrassicin, 4MO-I3M- 4-Methoxyglucobrassicin), and aromatic GSLs (GTN- Glucotropaeolin and GST- Gluconasturtiin) (Supplemental Figure 6, 222 Supplemental Dataset 6). Among the roots of *Bnastop2* mutants, compared to WT 223 (13CK), only the content of GRA and GTN displayed a significant decrease (Figure 224 225 3B). In the leaves, there was a notable reduction observed in the content of aromatic GSL (GTN and GST) (Figure 3C). In addition, the seed protein content of *Bnastop2* 226 mutants also significantly decreased (Supplemental Figure 7). We also quantified the 227 GSH content in *Bnastop2* mutants by LC-MS (Supplemental Figure 8A, Supplemental 228 229 Dataset 7). Compared to WT (13CK), a significant reduction in GSH levels were observed in the roots and siliques of the *Bnastop2* mutants (Figure 3F-3G). However, 230 no substantial difference was observed in the leaves (Supplemental Figure 8B). These 231 findings indicated that the mutation of BnaSTOP2s altered the content of GSL and GSH 232 content across various tissues. 233

Besides, considering that BnaMYB28s and BnaGTR2s (GLUCOSINOLATE 234 TRANSPORTER-2) are crucial for GSL accumulation in B. napus (Tan et al., 2021; 235 Zhou et al., 2023), we analyzed their expression levels in the roots and leaves of 236 237 Bnastop2 mutants by RT-qPCR. In the roots, the expression levels of BnaA02.GTR2, BnaC02.MYB28 and BnaC09.MYB28 were significantly down-regulated, while the 238 expression of BnaA03.MYB28 was significantly up-regulated; in the leaves, the 239 expression of BnaC09.MYB28, BnaC02.GTR2, BnaA06.GTR2 and BnaC03.GTR2 were 240 significantly down-regulated in leaves (Figure 3D-3E, Supplemental Figure 9, 241 Supplemental Dataset 8). These results suggested that *BnaSTOP2* may be a core factor 242 in maintaining sulfur metabolism in B. napus. 243

244

Knockout of *BnaSTOP2s* greatly changes the expression profiles of multiple sulfur metabolism genes in both source and sink tissues

The 20-day period after flowering (20 DAF) is a critical phase of reproductive 247 growth in *B. napus*, characterized by intensive nutrient absorption and energy 248 metabolism (Yu et al., 2010). Therefore, we conducted a comprehensive transcriptome 249 analysis focusing on both WT (13CK) plants and Bnastop2 mutants across roots and 250 251 siliques at 20 DAF. These tissues serve as pivotal sources and sinks for sulfur metabolism, respectively (Hawkesford, 2012; Miller and Chapman, 2011). In our 252 investigation of roots, we identified 3067 differentially up-regulated genes and 3378 253 differentially down-regulated genes ($|\log_2 foldchange| \ge 2$ and pval ≤ 0.05) 254 255 (Supplemental Figure 10A). Subsequent KEGG enrichment analysis showing that both up-regulated and down-regulated genes were mainly enriched in sulfur metabolism, 256 nitrogen metabolism, and fatty acids metabolism (Figure 4A, Supplemental Figure 10B, 257 Supplemental Dataset 10 and 11). Within the siliques, a total of 7,726 DEGs were 258 259 identified ($|\log 2$ foldchange | ≥ 2 and pval ≤ 0.05) (Supplemental Figure 11A). The KEGG analysis of DEGs revealed their predominant enrichment in pathways such as 260 cysteine and methionine metabolism, phenylalanine, and tryptophan biosynthesis, as 261 well as sulfur metabolism (Figure 4F, Supplemental Figure 11B and 11C, Supplemental 262 Dataset 12). These findings suggested that the mutation of *BnaSTOP2* had a substantial 263 impact on the metabolic processes occurring in the roots and siliques. 264

In roots of *Bnastop2* mutants, our findings showed that 35 genes associated with 265 sulfur metabolism exhibited significant up-regulation, while 25 genes related to sulfur 266 267 metabolism showed significant down-regulation (Figure 4B, Supplemental Figure 10C, Supplemental Dataset 13). Moreover, several genes belonging to the sulfate transporter 268 family exhibited up-regulation. On the other hand, previous studies have emphasized 269 the significance of sulfur metabolism in orchestrating the complex mechanisms linked 270 to GSL metabolism (Aarabi et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Notably, the up-regulated 271 genes included important GSL-associated genes, such as BnaA03.MYB28 and 272 BnaC09.MYB34, whereas transporters like BnaA06.GTR1 (GLUCOSINOLATE 273

274 TRANSPORTER-1) and BnaA02.GTR2 were predominantly down-regulated in root of Bnastop2 mutants (Figure 4C, Supplemental Dataset 14). In addition, in siliques, we 275 observed a notable up-regulation of 16 genes implicated in GSL metabolism, along with 276 a down-regulation of 25 genes linked to GSL metabolism. Key regulatory genes that 277 play crucial roles in both GSL and sulfur metabolism pathways, such as BnaA03.APR2, 278 BnaC08.GSH1 (GLUTATHIONE SYNTHETASE 1), BnaC09.GSH2 (GLUTATHIONE 279 SYNTHETASE 2), BnaC09.APK1 (APS kinase 1), and BnaSULTR1;2s, exhibited 280 281 significant up-regulation. Notably, we also observed a substantial down-regulation of pivotal regulatory genes implicated in the biosynthesis of aliphatic GSL, including 282 BnaC07.MYB34, BnaC08.MYC2, BnaA09.MYC3, BnaA06.BCAT3 (BRANCHED-283 AMINOTRANSFERASE 3), BnaA03.BCAT4 284 CHAIN (BRANCHED-CHAIN AMINOTRANSFERASE 4), and BnaA03.BAT5 (BILE ACID TRANSPORTER 5) 285 (Figure 4G, Supplemental Dataset 15). 286

Furthermore, to parse the overall changes in sulfur metabolism-related 287 pathways, we performed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) to identify gene sets 288 289 with synergistic differences (Subramanian et al., 2005). In the roots of Bnastop2 mutants, we observed a down-regulation trend in sulfur metabolism, and an up-290 regulation trend in GSL biosynthesis (Figure 4D-4E). Furthermore, we observed a 291 down-regulation trend in the expression of the GSL metabolism-related gene set in 292 293 siliques (Figure 4H). However, it is worth noting that crucial genes implicated in the biosynthesis and transportation of GSL were found to be down-regulated, specifically 294 BnaA01.UMAMIT30 and BnaC01.UMAMIT30 (Figure 4G), which shares homology 295 USUALLY MULTIPLE ACIDS MOVE IN AND OUT 296 with Arabidopsis TRANSPORTERS 30 (UMAMIT30), exhibits significantly decreased expression levels. 297 UMAMIT30 is recognized for its expression in the funicle of reproductive tissue and its 298 indispensable contribution to the translocation of GSL into seeds in Arabidopsis (Xu et 299 al., 2023). Taken collectively, these findings suggested that BnaSTOP2 exerts 300 significant regulatory influences on diverse facets of sulfur metabolism, encompassing 301 sulfate transport, sulfate assimilation, GSL biosynthesis, as well as cysteine and 302 methionine metabolism at both source and sink. 303

304

305 *BnaSTOP2* positively regulates *S. sclerotiorum* resistance in *B. napus*

Essential sulfur-containing primary metabolites, secondary metabolites, and 306 proteins play a critical role in plant defense against pathogens, directly or indirectly 307 bolstering defense responses (Venditti and Bianco, 2020). Through Y2H analysis, we 308 provided evidence supporting the critical involvement of BnaSTOP2 in defense 309 regulation, including pathogen resistance (Figure 2B). As a necrotrophic fungal 310 311 pathogen, S. sclerotiorum causes the most severe yield loss in many rapeseed grown regions(Kamal et al., 2016). To analyze the effects of *BnaSTOP2* on defense response 312 in B. napus, S. sclerotiorum was inoculated onto the leaves of Bnastop2 mutants. A 313 significant increase in lesion size was observed in the mutant at 48 hours post-314 inoculation (hpi) (Figure 5A and 5B, Supplemental Figure 12). Additionally, we 315 generated BnaA02.1.STOP2 OE (BnaSTOP2-OE) mutants in Xiaoyun, which is 316 susceptible to S. sclerotiorum. The expression level of BnaA02.1.STOP2 significantly 317 increased in OE mutants (Supplemental Figure 13). In comparison to the WT, 318 319 BnaSTOP2-OE mutants demonstrated enhanced resistance (Figure 5C and 5D). These results indicated that *BnaSTOP2s* may positively regulate the resistance to S. 320 sclerotiorum in B. napus. 321

Furthermore, RNA-seq analyses were performed at 0 hpi and 48 hpi respectively. 322 Subsequent analysis of KEGG revealed that, up-regulated genes in BnaSTOP2-OE 323 mutants at 48 hpi and 0 hpi, primarily enriched in the MAPK signaling pathway, 324 cysteine and methionine metabolism, GSH metabolism, sulfur metabolism, and GSL 325 biosynthesis (Supplemental Figure 14A, Supplemental Dataset 16); and up-regulated 326 genes between BnaSTOP2-OE mutants (48 hpi) and Xiaoyun (48 hpi) mainly enriched 327 in MAPK signaling pathway (Figure 5E). Besides, the GSEA analysis of BnaSTOP2-328 OE (48 hpi) revealed an ascending pattern in the metabolic pathways of cysteine and 329 methionine, ABC transporters, and the biosynthesis of diverse secondary metabolites 330 in plants (Supplemental Figure 15B-15D). Moreover, in *BnaSTOP2-OE* mutants at 48 331 hpi, several crucial genes associated with S. sclerotiorum resistance exhibited 332 differential expression. For instance, PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT 3 (PAD3), which 333

encodes a key enzyme involved in camalexin biosynthesis, is known to inhibit the 334 growth of S. sclerotiorum (Purnamasari et al., 2015). In BnaSTOP2-OE mutants at 48 335 hpi, BnaPAD3 (A6/A9/C3) displayed significant up-regulation. Conversely, 336 BnaA03. WRKY28, a negative regulator of S. sclerotiorum resistance in B. napus (Zhang 337 et al., 2022), exhibited significant down-regulation (Figure 5F). These findings 338 substantiated the proposition that *BnaSTOP2* plays a constructive role in enhancing S. 339 sclerotiorum resistance in B. napus. The enhanced resistance observed in BnaSTOP2-340 341 OE mutants can be attributed to the upregulation of genes associated with the MAPK signaling pathway, cysteine and methionine metabolism, GSH metabolism, sulfur 342 metabolism, and GSL biosynthesis. Furthermore, crucial genes involved in camalexin 343 production and negative regulation of S. sclerotiorum resistance further supported the 344 role of BnaSTOP2s in plant defense mechanisms. 345

346

347 **DISCUSSION**

348 The C2H2 zinc finger transcription factor family includes the pivotal member STOP2, which in Arabidopsis, displays a unique homologous, STOP1. This study 349 extends this understanding by uncovering the emerging role of STOP2 in modulating 350 sulfur metabolism within *B. napus*. Inorganic sulfate entry into plants typically involves 351 352 absorption, reduction to sulfide, and subsequent integration into crucial molecules like cysteine, methionine, GSH, and GSL (Brosnan and Brosnan, 2006; Mugford et al., 353 2012). To investigate the impact of *BnaSTOP2s* on sulfur metabolism, we analyzed the 354 levels of GSH and GSL in the Bnastop2 mutants. It was observed that the roots and 355 siliques of the mutants showed a significant decrease in GSH content. Additionally, the 356 Bnastop2 mutants displayed significant changes in the levels of various metabolites in 357 the roots, with noticeable variations in the levels of different GSL in both the roots and 358 leaves (Figure 3B-3C). Furthermore, BnaMYB28s and BnaGTR2s constitute pivotal 359 determinants for GSL accumulation in B. napus, and their expression levels both 360 significantly decreased in *Bnastop2* mutants (Figure 3D-3E). Therefore, it is speculated 361 that BnaSTOP2 potentially modulates accumulation through the regulation of 362 BnaMYB28s and BnaGTR2s. 363

Moreover, the primary root length of the *Bnastop2* mutants grew 3.82-fold 364 longer than WT on sulfur deficiency medium (Figure 2D-2E). This is consistent with 365 the change in root length of ggct2; 1 under sulfur deficient conditions (Joshi et al., 2019), 366 and BnaC09G0050100ZS (homologous to GGCT2;1) is interact with BnaA02.STOP2 367 (Figure 2B), thus implying that *BnaSTOP2s* may participate in the changes in root 368 structure during sulfur deficiency reactions together with GGCT2;1. Furthermore, 369 under high sulfur conditions, the primary root length of the Bnastop2 mutants remains 370 371 notably longer than that of the WT. Consequently, we speculated that BnaSTOP2 controls the dynamic balance of sulfur metabolism. This regulation ensures a stable 372 sulfur metabolism across diverse environmental conditions, diminishing sulfur content 373 under high sulfur conditions and elevating it under low sulfur conditions. 374

As plants rely on sulfate uptake from the soil through SULTRs, sulfate is 375 subsequently distributed within the plant cell, forming a dynamic "sulfur pool". The 376 metabolic process converts sulfate into diverse primary and secondary metabolites. The 377 sulfur assimilation pathway is integral to the synthesis of sulfur-containing amino acids 378 379 and secondary metabolites, including GSL (Hawkesford and De Kok, 2006). The modulation of gene expression of ATP sulfurylase (ATPS), APS reductase (APR), and 380 APS kinase (APK) facilitates the biochemical allocation of sulfur across various 381 branches of the assimilation pathway (Mugford et al., 2009; Vauclare et al., 2002). In 382 the roots of Bnastop2 mutants, DEGs are significantly enriched in sulfur metabolism 383 pathway, for instance, the expression of the sulfur metabolism gene set is generally 384 down-regulated (Figure 4A and 4D). Genes involved in sulfur metabolism such as 385 ATPS1, APR52, APK3, and GSH2 all showed down-regulated. However, sulfate 386 387 transporters exhibit up-regulated expression, such as SULTR1; 1, SULTR2; 2, SULTR3; 5, SULTR5; 2 (Figure 4C), perhaps owing to the mutation of BnaSTOP2, the sulfur 388 metabolism in roots is disrupted, and as roots serve as a primary sulfur source, can 389 direct influence plant growth. To alleviate this situation, plants enhance the expression 390 of sulfur transporters, facilitating the transportation of sulfate from the soil to 391 replenish the sulfur source. 392

393

On the other hand, siliques have known as a vital site for photosynthesis during

seed maturation and a significant sink for storing synthesized carbohydrates from 394 vegetative tissues (Samizadeh et al., 2007). In the siliques of *Bnastop2* mutants, the key 395 regulatory genes involved in GSL biosynthesis and transportation displayed a 396 significant down-regulation. These genes include BnaC07.MYB34, BnaC08.MYC2, 397 BnaA09.MYC3, BnaA06.BCAT3, BnaA03.BCAT4, 398 BnaA03.BAT5, 399 BnaA01.UMAMIT30, and BnaC01.UMAMIT30 (Figure 4G). Therefore, there was a downward trend in the expression of the GSL metabolism gene set in the siliques of 400 401 Bnastop2 mutants. In addition, in combination with Y2H, we screened regulatory factors at different stage of sulfur metabolism that interact with BnaA02.1.STOP2 402 (Figure 1C-1D). Our investigation into the role of *BnaSTOP2* in sulfur metabolism has 403 uncovered its significant participation in both source and sink tissues. 404

Sulfur metabolism is intricately involved in a variety of defense reactions. 405 Cysteine, a precursor of sulfur defense compounds, holds a central position in these 406 reactions (Chan et al., 2019). Secondary metabolites containing sulfur, such as GSL, 407 have been identified as potent contributors to resistance against pathogens like S. 408 409 sclerotiorum. Our experimental data supported this, revealing a significant decrease in resistance of *Bnastop2* mutants upon inoculation with S. sclerotiorum (Figure 5A-5B), 410 as opposed to the enhanced resistance observed in *BnaSTOP2* overexpressing mutants 411 compared to the WT (Xiaoyun) (Figure 5C-5D). From the substantial decrease in 412 Glucoiberverin (3-MP) and Gluconastutin (GST) content within the leaves of the 413 Bnastop2 mutant, along with the positive correlation between these two GSLs and 414 resistance against S. sclerotiorum (Abuyusuf et al., 2018; Teng et al., 2021). This led us 415 to propose the potential involvement of BnaSTOP2 in regulating S. sclerotiorum 416 resistance through the modulation of 3-MP and GST. On the other hand, our analysis 417 revealed a substantial enrichment of the MAPK immune signaling pathway at 48 hpi 418 (Figure 5E). This observation is complemented by the significant up-regulation of 419 BnaPAD3 (A6/A9/C3) and the noteworthy down-regulation of BnaA03.WRKY28 in 420 BnaSTOP2-OE mutants at 48 hpi (Figure 5F). These findings introduced a dynamic 421 layer to our understanding, hinting at an interplay between *BnaSTOP2* and the complex 422 network of signaling pathways crucial for plant immunity. 423

In summary, our study suggests that *BnaSTOP2* plays a crucial role in regulating sulfur metabolism and defense responses. It influences sulfur assimilation levels, sulfur compound metabolism in roots, leaves, and siliques, and pathogen resistance in leaves by forming multiple protein complexes (Figure 6). This newly discovered regulator has shown that it can modulate sulfur assimilation and metabolism to improve pathogen resistance, offering essential information for developing breeding strategies aimed at producing high-quality and high-yield *Brassica* crops.

- 431
- 432

433 MATERIALS and METHODS

434 Plant materials

435 Mutants were derived from B. napus varieties named 13CK or Xiaoyun. Overexpression (OE) and CRISPR/Cas9 lines were established, including 436 Bnastop2/13CK, BnaA02.1.STOP2-OE/ Xiaoyun. Mature open-pollinated seeds from 437 the Bnastop2 mutants and WT (13CK) were collected and desiccated to analysis of seed 438 GSL content and seed protein content. The analysis was conducted utilizing a Foss 439 NIRSystems 5000 spectroscope, which operates based on the principle of near-infrared 440 reflectance (Gan et al., 2003). Each accession underwent analysis with five replications. 441 The measurements were carried out at the esteemed National Research Center of 442 Rapeseed Engineering and Technology, located within the prestigious grounds of 443 Huazhong Agricultural University in the bustling city of Wuhan, China. 444

445

446 **Construction of vector and plant transformation**

The CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system, employed in this study to edit the 447 *BnaSTOP2* genes, was established based on established procedures (Xing et al., 2014). 448 The primers utilized for the assembly of the sgRNA vector are documented in 449 Supplementary Table S17. The OE construct was driven by CaMV35S 450 (pCAMBIA2300 vector), the primers used for the construction of the OE vector are 451 listed in the Supplemental Table S17. In this study, the donor plants for CRISPR/Cas9 452 and overexpression (OE) techniques were the '13CK' variety, characterized by its wild-453 type properties as a semi-winter-type rapeseed with a seed GSL content of 137.25 454

umol/g and an erucic acid composition of 34.26% in the seeds, and the 'Xiaoyun' variety, 455 also a wild-type but spring-type rapeseed with a seed GSL content of 22.68 µmol/g and 456 an erucic acid composition of 3.69% in the seeds. The T₀ transgenic plants were 457 cultivated within a greenhouse, wherein a light and dark cycle of 16 and 8 hours 458 respectively, was maintained at an ambient temperature of 23-25°C. Subsequently, the 459 T₂ Bnastop2 mutants, alongside the WT plants, were cultivated in the transgenic 460 experimental field of Huazhong Agricultural University. The leaves of both the WT and 461 mutant plants were harvested for DNA extraction, employing the Transzol sampling 462 463 method.

464

465 **RNA extraction and RT-qPCR**

Total RNA was isolated from 100 mg of plant tissue using the plant RNA extraction kit. 466 (Promega, LS1040, China). The RNA-seq samples consisted of Bnastop2 mutants and 467 WT plants, each with three biological replicates. A quantity of two micrograms of total 468 RNA was employed for cDNA synthesis, accomplished through the utilization of the 469 470 HiScript 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Vazyme, R111-01, China). The RT-qPCR analysis was carried out utilizing the CFX96 Real-Time system (Bio-Rad, USA), 471 employing the ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Q711, China). 472 The $2^{-(-\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ method, also known as the ΔCt method, was utilized to compute the 473 474 relative expression levels of genes. This was performed using three biological samples and three technical replicates per sample (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The RT-qPCR 475 primers are provided in Supplemental Table S17. All values were standardized to the 476 transcript levels of the ACTIN7 gene (AT3G46520). 477

478

479 **Y2H**

480 Y2H assays were conducted following the protocols outlined in the Matchmaker GAL4 481 Two Hybrid System (Clontech). Clone the full-length CDS of each target gene into 482 pGADT7 and pGBKT7 vectors, transfer the non-toxic and non-self-activation bait 483 vector and the recombinant plasmid connected to the pGADT7 vector into AH109 strain 484 according to the yeast transformation method. Y2H assays were conducted in accordance with the Matchmaker GAL4 Two Hybrid System. Clones were cultured on
selective dropout medium, either lacking Trp and Leu or Trp, Leu, His, and Ade. The
positive controls consisted of pGBKT7-53 and pGADT7-T, while the negative controls
included pGBKT7-lam and pGADT7-T. The primer details can be found in
Supplemental Table S17.

490

491 SLC

The coding sequence fragments of each target gene were amplified and inserted into the JW771-nLUC and JW772-cLUC vectors. The bacterial suspensions were prepared and delivered into *N. benthamiana* leaves using a needleless syringe, following a methodology established in a prior investigation (Chen et al., 2008). The luminescent signal was captured utilizing an imaging apparatus (NightShade LB 985, Berthold). The primer details can be found in Supplemental Table S17.

498

499 Metabolomics analysis

The procedure for sample preparation and extraction was carried out as follows: 500 Biological specimens underwent cryodesiccation utilizing a Scientz-100F vacuum 501 502 freeze-dryer. Subsequently, the desiccated sample was fragmented using a Retsch mixer mill (MM 400) equipped with a zirconia bead, operating at a frequency of 30 Hz for a 503 duration of 1.5 minutes. A quantity of 100 mg of the freeze-dried powder was dissolved 504 505 in 1.2 ml of a 70% methanol solution. The resultant mixture underwent agitation for 30 seconds at 30-minute intervals, repeated a total of six times. Afterward, the sample was 506 507 stored overnight in a refrigerator at a temperature of 4°C. Following centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes, the extracts were filtered through an ANPEL SCAA-104 508 509 filtration system, prior to UPLC-MS/MS analysis. The analytical parameters were ascertained as follows: The UPLC column employed was the Waters ACQUITY UPLC 510 511 HSS T3 C18 (1.8 µm, 2.1 mm*100 mm) with a column temperature of 40°C. The flow 512 rate was upheld at 0.4 mL/min, and an injection volume of 2 μ L was utilized. The 513 solvent system consisted of an aqueous solution with 0.1% formic acid and an acetonitrile solution with 0.1% formic acid. The gradient program that was 514 implemented followed this pattern: 95:5 volume/volume (V/V) at 0 minutes, 5:95 V/V 515 at 10.0 minutes, 5:95 V/V at 11.0 minutes, 95:5 V/V at 11.1 minutes, and 95:5 V/V at 516

15.0 minutes. The acquisition of scans was performed using a QTRAP triple 517 518 quadrupole-linear ion trap mass spectrometer, utilizing both the Linear Ion Trap (LIT) and triple quadrupole (QQQ) modes. The instrument was equipped with an ESI Turbo 519 Ion-Spray interface and operated in both positive and negative ionization modes. The 520 OOO scans were conducted as multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) experiments, with 521 522 the collision gas (nitrogen) set at a medium level. The selection of specific MRM transitions was further refined through adjustments in the differential pressure (DP) and 523 524 collision energy (CE).

525

526 Analysis of GSL and GSH using LC–MS/MS

The levels of GSL in the roots, leaves, and seeds of the WT (13CK) and Bnastop2 527 mutants were scrutinized. The investigation involved the application of three biological 528 replicas. Subsequent to prompt freezing using liquid nitrogen, the seeds, freshly roots 529 and leaves were preserved at an extreme temperature of -80°C until the extraction of 530 the GSL compounds was carried out. The procedure for GSL extraction strictly adhered 531 to the pre-established protocol (Tan et al., 2021). 2-propenyl GSL (Sinigrin, Sigma-532 533 Aldrich) was utilized as an external standard. The analysis of GSL was conducted using LC-MS sec6500 plus Qtrap (Sciex, USA) by following the methods described in 534 previous studies (Nour-Eldin et al., 2012). Separation was achieved on the ACQUITY 535 UPLC BEH C18 Column (130Å, 1.7 µm, 2.1 mm X 100 mm, 1/pk). A solution of 536 537 formic acid with a concentration of 0.1% (v/v) in water, along with acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, served as mobile phases A and B, respectively. The 538 elution profile was as follows: 0–0.5 minutes, 2% B; 0.5 to 7.5 minutes, 2–40% B; 7.5 539 540 to 8.5 minutes, 40-90% B; 8.5 to 11.5 minutes, 90% B; 11.6 to 15.5 minutes, 2% B. The flow rate of the mobile phase was set at 300 μ L/min. The voltage applied to the ion 541 spray was held at 4,000 V while operating in the negative-ion mode. The temperature 542 of the column was maintained at 40°C, and active exhaust was consistently engaged. 543 Monitoring of analyte precursor ion to fragment ion transitions was carried out using 544 multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). Specific mass transition values can be found in 545 Supplemental Figure 6. 546

547 Extraction of reduced GSH: The procedure for extracting reduced glutathione involved

preheating 2.0g of the sample at 75 °C. Subsequently, a 70% methanol-water solution, 548 also preheated to 75 °C, was added to the sample. The mixture was then subjected to 549 extraction in a 75 °C water bath for a duration of 10-30 minutes. During the extraction 550 process, shaking was performed. Following extraction, the mixture was centrifuged to 551 collect the supernatant. This procedure was repeated 2-3 times to ensure efficient 552 precipitation, and the collected supernatants were combined. The extraction solution 553 was further subjected to adsorption treatment using DEAE Sephadex A-25 resin to 554 555 obtain a comprehensive sample. Following the completion of the filtration process, the sample underwent membrane filtration and was subsequently stored for future 556 utilization. The task of separation was accomplished by employing the ACQUITY 557 UPLC BEH C18 Column, distinguished by its dimensions of 130Å, 1.7 µm, with 558 measurements of 2.1 mm X 100 mm, within a singular package. In regard to the mobile 559 phases, mobile phase A was designated as formic acid at a concentration of 0.1% (v/v) 560 in water, whereas mobile phase B consisted of acetonitrile supplemented with 0.1% 561 (v/v) formic acid. The elution profile unfurled in the following manner: during the 562 563 interval of 0-0.5 minutes, mobile phase B experienced variation, fluctuating between 3% and 15%; within the time frame of 0.5-2.5 minutes, the concentration of mobile 564 phase B ranged from 15% to 85%; from 2.5-2.6 minutes, a transition took place, 565 escalating from 85% to 100% B; at 2.6-3.5 minutes, mobile phase B remained entirely 566 at 100%; during 3.5-3.6 minutes, a gradual transition ensued from 100% B to 3% B; 567 and finally, from 3.6-6.0 minutes, a consistent level of 3% B was maintained. 568 Noteworthy care was taken in establishing the mass spectrum parameters for the 569 analysis, encompassing an ion spray voltage of 5500 V, a turbine gas temperature of 570 650°C, a collision gas set at 3 psi, a maintained curtain gas at 35 psi, and an ion source 571 gas pressure amounting to 60 psi. The analysis was conducted under the positive ion 572 mode, and the detection of parent ion product ions was accomplished through the 573 implementation of multiple reaction monitoring (MRM), specifically targeting m/z: 574 308.1-179.1. The collision energy (CE) was set at 17V, while the declustering potential 575 (DP) was adjusted to 46V. 576

577

578 **RNA-seq transcriptomic analysis**

The roots and siliques of WT and *Bnastop2* mutants were collected to facilitate the 579 RNA-seq analysis, with three biological replicates. The extraction of total RNA was 580 conducted employing the TIANGEN RNAprep Pure Plant Kit. An amount of 1.5 µg of 581 RNA per sample was utilized as the input material for the preparation of RNA samples. 582 Subsequently, sequencing libraries were fabricated using the NEBNext® UltraTM 583 RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, USA). The resulting libraries underwent 584 sequencing on an Illumina Hiseq 4000 platform, which produced 150 bp paired-end 585 586 reads. The FastQC software was employed for quality control assessment of the RNAseq reads, and the ensuing results were consolidated using multi-qc (Ewels et al., 2016). 587 588 We employed the hisat2 algorithm for quantifying the RNA sequencing reads of annotated genes in the Brassica napus genome. The annotated gene data was 589 downloaded from the following website: http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus/. 590 Subsequently, we imported the data and performed normalization using StringTie 591 (Pertea et al., 2015), and DESeq2 was used for differential expression analysis (Love 592 et al., 2014). GO enrichment analysis and KEGG enrichment analysis were conducted 593 594 using the clusterProfiler package (https://github.com/GuangchuangYu/clusterProfiler).

595

596 Pathogen inoculation and disease resistance assay

597 The *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* strain 1980 was cultivated on potato dextrose agar (PDA) 598 medium, which comprised 20% (w/v) potato, 2% (w/v) dextrose, and 1.5% (w/v) agar, 599 for the purpose of inoculation. The leaf inoculation assay was conducted following 600 previously described methods (Zhang et al., 2022), utilizing the latest fully unfolded 601 leaves of 4-week-old plants. After 48 hours of inoculation, photographs of the 602 inoculated leaves were captured, and the diameter of lesions was quantified using a 603 Vernier caliper.

604 Supplemental Data

Supplemental Figure 1. Comparison of amino acid sequence between STOP1 andSTOP2 in Arabidoosis.

Supplemental Figure 2. Analysis of the amino acid sequence of *Bnastop2 11-7*mutants.

609 Supplemental Figure 3. Relative RNA level of *BnaSTOP2s* in WT and *Bnastop2*

610	mutants.
010	mutants.

- 611 Supplemental Figure 4. Screening point-to-point validation of BnaA02.1.STOP2 Y2H
- 612 library.
- 613 Supplemental Figure 5. Analysis of differential metabolites in roots of WT and
- 614 *Bnastop2* mutants.
- 615 **Supplemental Figure 6.** Intact GSLs identified using the negative ESI-MS/MS mode.
- 616 **Supplemental Figure 7.** Seed protein content (%) in WT and *Bnastop2* mutants.
- 617 Supplemental Figure 8. Determination and analysis of GSH content (ng/ml) in WT
- 618 and *Bnastop2* mutants.
- Supplemental Figure 9. Relative RNA level of *BnaMYB28s* in WT and *Bnastop2*mutants.
- 621 Supplemental Figure 10. Analysis with differentially expressed gene set in roots of
- 622 *Bnastop2* mutant and WT at 20 DAF.
- 623 Supplemental Figure 11. Analysis with differentially expressed genes in siliques of
- 624 *Bnastop2* mutants and WT at 20 DAF.
- Supplemental Figure 12. Identification of resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on
 leaves of *Bnastop2* mutants.
- Supplemental Figure 13. Relative expression of *BnaA02.1.STOP2* in *BnaSTOP2-OE*mutants.
- 629 Supplemental Figure 14. Analysis with differentially expressed genes of *BnaSTOP2*-
- 630 *OE* mutants at 0 hpi and 48 hpi.
- Supplemental Dataset 1. Detection of mutations at putative CRISPR/Cas9 off-target
 sites in the T₁ generation.
- Supplemental Dataset 2. Relative *BnaSTOP2s* RNA level in WT and *Bnastop2*mutants.
- Supplemental Dataset 3. N content (mg/L) in the roots and shoots of the *Bnastop2*mutants.
- Supplemental Dataset 4. Identification of interacting proteins with BnaA02.1.STOP2
 through yeast two-hybrid screening.
- 639 **Supplemental Dataset 5.** Metabolite profiling of *Bnastop2* mutant roots through LC-
- 640 MS analysis.
- 641 Supplemental Dataset 6. The content of GSLs (ng/ml) in WT and *Bnastop2* mutants.
- 642 Supplemental Dataset 7. The content of GSH (ng/ml) in WT and *Bnastop2* mutants.
- 643 Supplemental Dataset 8. Relative *BnaMYB28s* and *BnaGTR2s* RNA level in WT and

- 644 *Bnastop2* mutants.
- 645 **Supplemental Dataset 9.** Comparison of protein content (%) in mature seeds between
- 646 *Bnastop2* mutants and their control in the T_2 generation.
- 647 **Supplemental Dataset 10.** KEGG analysis of up-regulated genes in roots of *Bnastop2*
- 648 mutants and WT.
- 649 Supplemental Dataset 11. KEGG analysis of down-regulated genes in roots of
- 650 *Bnastop2* mutants and WT.
- 651 **Supplemental Dataset 12.** KEGG analysis of differentially expressed genes in siliques
- 652 of *Bnastop2* mutants and WT.
- 653 Supplemental Dataset 13. DEGs of sulfur metabolism related genes in roots of
- 654 *Bnastop2* mutants and WT.
- 655 Supplemental Dataset 14. DEGs of glucosinolate metabolism related genes in roots
- 656 of *Bnastop2* mutants and WT.
- 657 **Supplemental Dataset 15.** DEGs of glucosinolate metabolism related genes in siliques
- 658 of *Bnastop2* mutants and WT.
- 659 Supplemental Dataset 16. KEGG analysis of up-regulated genes in *BnaSTOP2-OE*
- 660 mutants and Xiaoyun (48 hpi).
- 661 **Supplemental Dataset 17.** Primers used in this study.
- 662
- 663

664 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 665 This research was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program
- of China (2022YFD1200400) and the Program for Modern Agricultural Industrial
- 667 Technology System (CARS-12).

668 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

- 669 D.H. and G.Y. designed and supervised the study. L.D., Z.X., T.A. and X.L. performed
- 670 the bioinformatics analysis. L.D., Z.X., A.L. and J.Z. performed the STOP2-related
- 671 experiments. L.D. and Z.X. prepared the manuscript. D.H. and G.Y. revised the
- 672 manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the manuscript.

673 COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

675

676 **References**

- 677 [1]. Aarabi, F., Naake, T., Fernie, A.R., and Hoefgen, R. (2020). Coordinating Sulfur Pools under
 678 Sulfate Deprivation. Trends Plant Sci 25: 1227-1239.
- 679 [2]. Abuyusuf, M., Robin, A.H.K., Lee, J.H., Jung, H.J., Kim, H.T., Park, J.I., and Nou, I.S. (2018).
 680 Glucosinolate Profiling and Expression Analysis of Glucosinolate Biosynthesis Genes
 681 Differentiate White Mold Resistant and Susceptible Cabbage Lines. Int J Mol Sci 19.
- 682 [3]. Ali, M.A., Azeem, F., Nawaz, M.A., Acet, T., Abbas, A., Imran, Q.M., Shah, K.H., Rehman,
 683 H.M., Chung, G., Yang, S.H., and Bohlmann, H. (2018). Transcription factors WRKY11 and
 684 WRKY17 are involved in abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. J Plant Physiol 226: 12-21.
- 685 [4]. Brosnan, J.T., and Brosnan, M.E. (2006). The sulfur-containing amino acids: An overview. J
 686 Nutr 136: 1636s-1640s.
- Burow, M., Zhang, Z.Y., Ober, J.A., Lambrix, V.M., Wittstock, U., Gershenzon, J., and
 Kliebenstein, D.J. (2008). ESP and ESM1 mediate indol-3-acetonitrile production from indol3-ylmethyl glucosinolate in Arabidopsis. Phytochemistry 69: 663-671.
- Buxdorf, K., Yaffe, H., Barda, O., and Levy, M. (2013). The Effects of Glucosinolates and
 Their Breakdown Products on Necrotrophic Fungi. Plos One 8.
- 692 [7]. Capaldi, F.R., Gratao, P.L., Reis, A.R., Lima, L.W., and Azevedo, R.A. (2015). Sulfur
 693 Metabolism and Stress Defense Responses in Plants. Trop Plant Biol 8: 60-73.
- 694 [8]. Chan, K.X., Phua, S.Y., and Van Breusegem, F. (2019). Secondary sulfur metabolism in
 695 cellular signalling and oxidative stress responses. J Exp Bot 70: 4237-4250.
- 696 [9]. Chao, H.B., Wang, H., Wang, X.D., Guo, L.X., Gu, J.W., Zhao, W.G., Li, B.J., Chen, D.Y.,
 697 Raboanatahiry, N., and Li, M.T. (2017). Genetic dissection of seed oil and protein content
 698 and identification of networks associated with oil content in Brassica napus. Sci Rep-Uk 7.
- [10]. Chen, H.M., Zou, Y., Shang, Y.L., Lin, H.Q., Wang, Y.J., Cai, R., Tang, X.Y., and Zhou, J.M.
 (2008). Firefly luciferase complementation imaging assay for protein-protein interactions in plants. Plant Physiol 146: 368-376.
- [11]. Chen, J.Y., Ullah, C., Reichelt, M., Beran, F., Yang, Z.L., Gershenzon, J., Hammerbacher, A.,
 and Vassao, D.G. (2020). The phytopathogenic fungus Sclerotinia sclerotiorum detoxifies
 plant glucosinolate hydrolysis products via an isothiocyanate hydrolase. Nat Commun 11.
- [12]. Chittem, K., Yajima, W.R., Goswami, R.S., and Mendoza, L.E.D. (2020). Transcriptome
 analysis of the plant pathogen Sclerotinia sclerotiorum interaction with resistant and
 susceptible canola (Brassica napus) lines. Plos One 15.
- [13]. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., and Kaller, M. (2016). MultiQC: summarize analysis
 results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32: 3047-3048.
- [14]. Francioso, A., Conrado, A.B., Mosca, L., and Fontana, M. (2020). Chemistry and
 Biochemistry of Sulfur Natural Compounds: Key Intermediates of Metabolism and Redox
 Biology. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2020.
- Fujiwara, T., Nambara, E., Yamagishi, K., Goto, D.B., and Naito, S. (2002). Storage proteins.
 Arabidopsis Book 1: e0020.
- [16]. Gan, L., Sun, X., Jin, L., Wang, G., Xu, J., Wei, Z., and Fu, T. (2003). Establishment of math
 models of NIRS analysis for oil and protein contents in seed ofo Brassica napus. Zhongguo

- 717 Nong Ye Ke Xue **36:** 1609-1613.
- [17]. Grant, C.A., Mahli, S.S., and Karamanos, R.E. (2012). Sulfur management for rapeseed. Field
 Crop Res 128: 119-128.
- [18]. Hatzfeld, Y., Lee, S., Lee, M., Leustek, T., and Saito, K. (2000). Functional characterization
 of a gene encoding a fourth ATP sulfurylase isoform from Arabidopsis thaliana. Gene 248:
 51-58.
- [19]. Hawkesford, M.J. (2012). Sulfate Uptake and Assimilation Whole Plant Regulation
 Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 11-24.
- [20]. Hawkesford, M.J., and De Kok, L.J. (2006). Managing sulphur metabolism in plants. Plant
 Cell Environ 29: 382-395.
- [21]. Heeg, C., Kruse, C., Jost, R., Gutensohn, M., Ruppert, T., Wirtz, M., and Hell, R. (2008).
 Analysis of the Arabidopsis O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase gene family demonstrates
 compartment-specific differences in the regulation of cysteine synthesis. Plant Cell 20: 168 185.
- [22]. Hunziker, P., Ghareeb, H., Wagenknecht, L., Crocoll, C., Halkier, B.A., Lipka, V., and Schulz,
 A. (2020). De novo indol-3-ylmethyl glucosinolate biosynthesis, and not long-distance
 transport, contributes to defence of Arabidopsis against powdery mildew. Plant Cell Environ
 43: 1571-1583.
- [23]. Ito, T., Kitaiwa, T., Nishizono, K., Umahashi, M., Miyaji, S., Agake, S.I., Kuwahara, K.,
 Yokoyama, T., Fushinobu, S., Maruyama-Nakashita, A., Sugiyama, R., Sato, M., Inaba, J.,
 Hirai, M.Y., and Ohkama-Ohtsu, N. (2022). Glutathione degradation activity of gammaglutamyl peptidase 1 manifests its dual roles in primary and secondary sulfur metabolism in
 Arabidopsis. Plant J 111: 1626-1642.
- [24]. Jez, J.M. (2019). Structural biology of plant sulfur metabolism: from sulfate to glutathione. J
 Exp Bot 70: 4089-4103.
- [25]. Jiang, C.H., Huang, Z.Y., Xie, P., Gu, C., Li, K., Wang, D.C., Yu, Y.Y., Fan, Z.H., Wang, C.J.,
 Wang, Y.P., Guo, Y.H., and Guo, J.H. (2016). Transcription factors WRKY70 and WRKY11
 served as regulators in rhizobacterium Bacillus cereus AR156-induced systemic resistance to
 Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 67: 157-174.
- [26]. Joshi, N.C., Meyer, A.J., Bangash, S.A.K., Zheng, Z.L., and Leustek, T. (2019). Arabidopsis
 γ-glutamylcyclotransferase affects glutathione content and root system architecture during
 sulfur starvation. New Phytol 221: 1387-1397.
- [27]. Kamal, M.M., Savocchia, S., Lindbeck, K.D., and Ash, G.J. (2016). Biology and biocontrol of
 Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary in oilseed Brassicas. Australas Plant Path 45: 1-14.
- [28]. Kobayashi, Y., Ohyama, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Ito, H., Iuchi, S., Fujita, M., Zhao, C.R., Tanveer,
 T., Ganesan, M., Kobayashi, M., and Koyama, H. (2014). STOP2 Activates Transcription of
 Several Genes for Al- and Low pH-Tolerance that Are Regulated by STOP1 in Arabidopsis.
 Mol Plant 7: 311-322.
- [29]. Kopriva, S., Malagoli, M., and Takahashi, H. (2019). Sulfur nutrition: impacts on plant
 development, metabolism, and stress responses. J Exp Bot 70: 4069-4073.
- [30]. Li-Beisson, Y., Beisson, F., and Riekhof, W. (2015). Metabolism of acyl-lipids in
 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Plant J 82: 504-522.
- [31]. Livak, K.J., and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real time quantitative PCR and the 2(T)(-Delta Delta C) method. Methods 25: 402-408.

- [32]. Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and
 dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15: 550.
- [33]. Maruyama-Nakashita, A., Nakamura, Y., Tohge, T., Saito, K., and Takahashi, H. (2006).
 SLIM1 is a central transcriptional regulator of plant sulfur response and metabolism. Plant
 Cell 18: 3235-3251.
- [34]. Miller, A.J., and Chapman, N. (2011). Transporters Involved in Nitrogen Uptake and
 Movement. In: The Molecular and Physiological Basis of Nutrient Use Efficiency in Crops. 193 210.
- Mugford, S.G., Matthewman, C., Lee, B.-R., Yatusevich, R., Yoshimoto, N., Wirtz, M., Hill,
 L., Hell, R., Takahashi, H., Saito, K., Gigolashvili, T., and Kopriva, S. (2012). Partitioning of
 Sulfur Between Primary and Secondary Metabolism Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 91-96.
- [36]. Mugford, S.G., Yoshimoto, N., Reichelt, M., Wirtz, M., Hill, L., Mugford, S.T., Nakazato,
 Y., Noji, M., Takahashi, H., Kramell, R., Gigolashvili, T., Flugge, U.I., Wasternack, C.,
 Gershenzon, J., Hell, R., Saito, K., and Kopriva, S. (2009). Disruption of Adenosine-5 'Phosphosulfate Kinase in Arabidopsis Reduces Levels of Sulfated Secondary Metabolites.
 Plant Cell 21: 910-927.
- [37]. Narayan, O.P., Kumar, P., Yadav, B., Dua, M., and Johri, A.K. (2022). Sulfur nutrition and its
 role in plant growth and development. Plant Signal Behav.
- [38]. Noman, A., Aqeel, M., Khalid, N., Islam, W., Sanaullah, T., Anwar, M., Khan, S., Ye, W.F.,
 and Lou, Y.G. (2019). Zinc finger protein transcription factors: Integrated line of action for
 plant antimicrobial activity. Microb Pathogenesis 132: 141-149.
- [39]. Nour-Eldin, H.H., Andersen, T.G., Burow, M., Madsen, S.R., Jorgensen, M.E., Olsen, C.E.,
 Dreyer, I., Hedrich, R., Geiger, D., and Halkier, B.A. (2012). NRT/PTR transporters are
 essential for translocation of glucosinolate defence compounds to seeds. Nature 488: 531 534.
- [40]. Paulose, B., Chhikara, S., Coomey, J., Jung, H.I., Vatamaniuk, O., and Dhankher, O.P.
 (2013). A gamma-Glutamyl Cyclotransferase Protects Arabidopsis Plants from Heavy Metal
 Toxicity by Recycling Glutamate to Maintain Glutathione Homeostasis. Plant Cell 25: 45804595.
- [41]. Pertea, M., Pertea, G.M., Antonescu, C.M., Chang, T.C., Mendell, J.T., and Salzberg, S.L.
 (2015). StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads.
 Nat Biotechnol 33: 290-+.
- 793 [42]. Petersen, B.L., Chen, S.X., Hansen, C.H., Olsen, C.E., and Halkier, B.A. (2002). Composition
 794 and content of glucosinolates in developing Arabidopsis thaliana. Planta 214: 562-571.
- Purnamasari, M., Cawthray, G.R., Barbetti, M.J., Erskine, W., and Croser, J.S. (2015).
 Camalexin Production in Camelina sativa is Independent of Cotyledon Resistance to
 Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Plant Dis 99: 1544-1549.
- [44]. Rahikainen, M., Trotta, A., Alegre, S., Pascual, J., Vuorinen, K., Overmyer, K., Moffatt, B.,
 Ravanel, S., Glawischnig, E., and Kangasjarvi, S. (2017). PP2A-B 'gamma modulates foliar
 trans-methylation capacity and the formation of 4-methoxy-indol-3-yl-methyl
 glucosinolate in Arabidopsis leaves. Plant J 89: 112-127.
- 802 [45]. Samizadeh, H., Yazdi-samadi, B., Bihamta, M.R., Taleii, A.R., and Stringam, G.R. (2007).
 803 Study of Pod Length Trait in Doubled Haploid Brassica napus Population by Molecular
 804 Markers. J Agr Sci Tech-Iran 9: 129-136.

- [46]. Sanden, N.C.H., Kanstrup, C., Crocoll, C., Schulz, A., Nour-Eldin, H.H., Halkier, B.A., and
 Xu, D.Y. (2024). An UMAMIT-GTR transporter cascade controls glucosinolate seed loading
 in. Nat Plants.
- 808 [47]. Setya, A., Murillo, M., and Leustek, T. (1996). Sulfate reduction in higher plants: Molecular
 809 evidence for a novel 5'-adenylylsulfate reductase. P Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 13383-13388.
- [48]. Sotelo, T., Lema, M., Soengas, P., Cartea, M.E., and Velasco, P. (2015). In Vitro Activity of
 Glucosinolates and Their Degradation Products against Brassica-Pathogenic Bacteria and
 Fungi. Appl Environ Microb 81: 432-440.
- 813 [49]. Stotz, H.U., Sawada, Y., Shimada, Y., Hirai, M.Y., Sasaki, E., Krischke, M., Brown, P.D., Saito,
 814 K., and Kamiya, Y. (2011). Role of camalexin, indole glucosinolates, and side chain
 815 modification of glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanates in defense of Arabidopsis against
 816 Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Plant J 67: 81-93.
- 817 [50]. Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V.K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B.L., Gillette, M.A.,
 818 Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S.L., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., and Mesirov, J.P. (2005). Gene set
 819 enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression
 820 profiles. P Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 15545-15550.
- [51]. Takahashi, H., Kopriva, S., Giordano, M., Saito, K., and Hell, R. (2011). Sulfur Assimilation
 in Photosynthetic Organisms: Molecular Functions and Regulations of Transporters and
 Assimilatory Enzymes. Annual Review of Plant Biology, Vol 62 62: 157-184.
- [52]. Tan, Z., Xie, Z., Dai, L., Zhang, Y., Hu, Z., Tang, S., Wan, L., Yao, X., Guo, L., and Hong, D.
 (2021). Genome- and transcriptome-wide association studies reveal the genetic basis and
 the breeding history of seed glucosinolate content in Brassica napus. Plant Biotechnol J.
- [53]. Teng, Z.Y., Yu, Y.J., Zhu, Z.J., Hong, S.B., Yang, B.X., and Zang, Y.X. (2021). Melatonin
 elevated Sclerotinia sclerotiorum resistance via modulation of ATP and glucosinolate
 biosynthesis in Brassica rapa ssp. pekinensis. J Proteomics 243.
- [54]. Tokizawa, M., Enomoto, T., Chandnani, R., Mora-Macías, J., Burbridge, C., ArmentaMedina, A., Kobayashi, Y., Yamamoto, Y.Y., Koyama, H., and Kochian, L.V. (2023). The
 transcription factors, STOP1 and TCP20, are required for root system architecture alterations
 in response to nitrate deficiency. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 120:
 e2300446120.
- [55]. Vauclare, P., Kopriva, S., Fell, D., Suter, M., Sticher, L., von Ballmoos, P., Krahenbuhl, U.,
 den Camp, R.O., and Brunold, C. (2002). Flux control of sulphate assimilation in Arabidopsis
 thaliana: adenosine 5 '-phosphosulphate reductase is more susceptible than ATP
 sulphurylase to negative control by thiols. Plant J 31: 729-740.
- 839 [56]. Venditti, A., and Bianco, A. (2020). Sulfur-containing Secondary Metabolites as
 840 Neuroprotective Agents. Curr Med Chem 27: 4421-4436.
- [57]. Verma, S., Singh, A., Pradhan, S., Kumar, V., and Kumar, V. (2022). Effect of Sulphur
 Nutrition on the Production Potential of Brassica spp.: A Review. 880-887.
- [58]. Wang, B., Wu, Z.K., Li, Z.H., Zhang, Q.H., Hu, J.L., Xiao, Y.J., Cai, D.F., Wu, J.S., King, G.J.,
 Li, H.T., and Liu, K.D. (2018). Dissection of the genetic architecture of three seed-quality
 traits and consequences for breeding in Brassica napus. Plant Biotechnol J 16: 1336-1348.
- 846 [59]. Wirtz, M., Droux, M., and Hell, R. (2004). O-acetylserine (thiol) lyase: an enigmatic enzyme
 847 of plant cysteine biosynthesis revisited in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot 55: 1785-1798.
- 848 [60]. Wirtz, M., Heeg, C., Samami, A.A., Ruppert, T., and Hell, R. (2010). Enzymes of cysteine

- synthesis show extensive and conserved modifications patterns that include N-alpha terminal acetylation. Amino Acids 39: 1077-1086.
- [61]. Xing, H.L., Dong, L., Wang, Z.P., Zhang, H.Y., Han, C.Y., Liu, B., Wang, X.C., and Chen, Q.J.
 (2014). A CRISPR/Cas9 toolkit for multiplex genome editing in plants. Bmc Plant Biol 14.
- [62]. Xu, D.Y., Sanden, N.C.H., Hansen, L.L., Belew, Z.M., Madsen, S.R., Meyer, L., Jorgensen,
 M.E., Hunziker, P., Veres, D., Crocoll, C., Schulz, A., Nour-Eldin, H.H., and Halkier, B.A.
 (2023). Export of defensive glucosinolates is key for their accumulation in seeds. Nature 617:
 132-+.
- [63]. Yang, L.Y., Zhang, Y., Guan, R.X., Li, S., Xu, X.W., Zhang, S.Q., and Xu, J. (2020). Coregulation of indole glucosinolates and camalexin biosynthesis by CPK5/CPK6 and
 MPK3/MPK6 signaling pathways. J Integr Plant Biol 62: 1780-1796.
- [64]. Ye, J.Y., Tian, W.H., Zhou, M., Zhu, Q.Y., Du, W.X., Zhu, Y.X., Liu, X.X., Lin, X.Y., Zheng, S.J.,
 and Jin, C.W. (2021). STOP1 activates NRT1.1-mediated nitrate uptake to create a favorable
 rhizospheric pH for plant adaptation to acidity. Plant Cell 33: 3658-3674.
- 863 [65]. Yu, B.Y., Gruber, M., Khachatourians, G.G., Hegedus, D.D., and Hannoufa, A. (2010). Gene
 864 expression profiling of developing Brassica napus seed in relation to changes in major
 865 storage compounds. Plant Sci 178: 381-389.
- [66]. Zhang, K., Liu, F., Wang, Z.X., Zhuo, C.J., Hu, K.N., Li, X.X., Wen, J., Yi, B., Shen, J.X., Ma,
 C.Z., Fu, T.D., and Tu, J.X. (2022). Transcription factor WRKY28 curbs WRKY33-mediated
 resistance to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum in Brassica napus. Plant Physiol 190: 2757-2774.
- [67]. Zhang, L., Kawaguchi, R., Morikawa-Ichinose, T., Allahham, A., Kim, S.J., and Maruyama Nakashita, A. (2020). Sulfur Deficiency-Induced Glucosinolate Catabolism Attributed to Two
 beta-Glucosiaases, BGLU28 and BGLU30, is Required for Plant Growth Maintenance under
 Sulfur Deficiency. Plant Cell Physiol 61: 803-813.
- [68]. Zhao, F.-j., Tausz, M., and De Kok, L.J. (2008). Role of Sulfur for Plant Production in
 Agricultural and Natural Ecosystems. In: Sulfur Metabolism in Phototrophic Organisms--Hell,
 R., Dahl, C., Knaff, D., and Leustek, T., eds. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 417-435.
- [69]. Zhao, Q., Wu, Y., Gao, L., Ma, J., Li, C.Y., and Xiang, C.B. (2014). Sulfur nutrient availability
 regulates root elongation by affecting root indole-3-acetic acid levels and the stem cell niche.
 J Integr Plant Biol 56: 1151-1163.
- [70]. Zhou, X.M., Zhang, H.Y., Xie, Z.Q., Liu, Y., Wang, P.F., Dai, L.H., Zhang, X.H., Wang, Z.Y.,
 Wang, Z.A.R., Wan, L.L., Yang, G.S., and Hong, D.F. (2023). Natural variation and artificial
 selection at the BnaC2.MYB28 locus modulate Brassica napus seed glucosinolate. Plant
 Physiol 191: 352-368.
- 884 **FIGURE LEGENDS**
- Figure 1. Generation of CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in *BnaSTOP2*.
- (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of STOP2 in *Brassica napus* and Arabidopsis.
- (B) The indel situation of the *Bnastop2* knockout site compared to the WT sequence.
- 888 The sgRNA target sites are marked with arrows, the PAM sequence is colored in red,
- and the indel mutations are colored in red.
- 890 (C) N content (mg/L) in roots and shoots of *Bnastop2* mutants. Differences between
- 891 mutants and WT are significant at p < 0.05 (*) and p < 0.01 (**) by two-tailed Student's
- 892 t test.

883

893	
894	Figure 2. Interaction proteins of BnaA02.1.STOP2 are involved in sulfate
895	metabolism.
896	(A) KEGG analysis of interacting proteins with BnaA02.1.STOP2.
897	(B and C) Screening point-to-point and SLC validation of BnaA02.1.STOP2 Y2H
898	library.
899	(D and E) Representative 2-week-old WT and <i>Bnastop2</i> mutant seedlings grown on
900	media containing increasing concentrations of sulfate (SO ⁴⁻), as indicated. S0, -S
901	medium; S30, including 30 μM S medium; S100, including100 μM S medium. Scale
902	bar represents 2 cm. Differences between mutants and WT are significant at $p < 0.05$
903	(*) and p<0.01 (**) by two-tailed Student's t test ($10 \le n \le 20$).
904	
905	Figure 3. <i>BnaSTOP2</i> is important for the accumulation of sulfate metabolites.
906	(A) KEGG analysis of differential metabolites in roots of WT and <i>Bnastop2</i> mutants.
907	(B and C) The content of GSL (ng/ml) in roots and leaves of WT and <i>Bnastop2</i>
908	mutants. SIN: Sinigrin; GER: Glucoerucin; GRA: Glucoraphanin; NAP: Gluconapin;
909	GAL: Glucoalyssin; 3-MP: Glucoiberverin; 4-MB: Glucosativin; 4M-3B:
910	Glucoraphenin; NEO: Neoglucobrassicin; 4MO-I3M: 4-Methoxyglucobrassicin;
911	GTN: Glucotropaeolin; GST: Gluconasturtiin.
912	(D and E) Relative RNA level of <i>BnaMYB28s</i> and <i>BnaGTR2s</i> in WT and <i>Bnastop2</i>
913	mutants.
914	(F and G) Comparisons of GSH (ng/ml) in roots and siliques of WT and <i>Bnastop2</i>
915	mutants. For (B) to (G), differences between mutants and WT are significant at p<
916	0.05 (*) and p<0.01 (**) by two-tailed Student's t test.
917	
918	Figure 4. <i>Bnastop2</i> mutants DEGs are significantly enriched in amino acid and
919	sulfur metabolism pathway.
920	(A) KEGG analysis of down-regulated genes in roots of <i>Bnastop2</i> mutants and WT.
921	(B) Up-regulated genes of sulfur metabolism related genes in roots of <i>Bnastop2</i>
922	mutants and WT.
923	(C) DEGs of GSL metabolism related genes in roots of <i>Bnastop2</i> mutants and WT.
924	(D and E) GSEA analysis of the KEGG terms enriched in up- or down-regulated
925	genes in the roots of <i>Bnastop2</i> mutants compared with the WT. NES, p-value and
926	FDR value are presented.
927	(F) KEGG analysis of differentially expressed genes in siliques of <i>Bnastop2</i> mutants
928	and WT.
929	(G) DEGs of GSL metabolism related genes in siliques of <i>Bnastop2</i> mutants and WT.
930	(H) GSEA analysis of the KEGG terms enriched in up- or down-regulated genes the
931	siliques of Bnastop2 mutants compared with the WT. GSEA, Gene Set Enrichment
932	Analysis; NES, normalized enrichment score.
933	
934	Figure 5. <i>BnaSTOP2</i> has an important impact on defense response.
935	(A and B) Identification of resistance to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, on leaves of

936 Bnastop2 mutants (n=10).

- 937 (C and D) Identification of resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, on leaves of
- 938 BnaSTOP2-OE mutants (n=14). For (B) and (D), differences between mutants and
- WT are significant at p < 0.05 (*) and p < 0.01 (**) by two-tailed Student's t test.
- 940 (E) KEGG analysis of up-regulated genes in *BnaSTOP2-OE* mutants and Xiaoyun (48
 941 hpi).
- 942 (F) Normalized gene expressed levels (TPM) from RNAseq data for *BnaPAD3s* and
- 943 BnaA03.WRKY28 in BnaSTOP2-OE mutants and Xiaoyun (48 hpi). Differences
- between mutants and Xiaoyun are significant at p< 0.05 (*) and p<0.01 (**) by two-
- 945 tailed Student's t test.
- 946

Figure 6. Zinc finger transcription factor *STOP2* is a new regulator of sulfur metabolism and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* resistance in *Brassica napus*.

- 949 During the process of sulfur absorption in the root system, *BnaSTOP2* affects sulfur
- absorption by affecting the expression levels of sulfur transporters (SULTRs), as well
- as sulfur metabolism in sulfur roots by affecting the expression levels of *SAL1*, *OASB*,
- and *APR3*. Moreover, when the siliques undergo sulfur pooling, *BnaSTOP2* exerts
- 953 influence over the accumulation of GSL by modulating the expression of *BnaMYB28s*
- and *BnaGTR2s*. *BnaSTOP2* also impacts the accrual of stored proteins in seeds
- 955 through interactions with At2S2.Additionally, in the face of *S. sclerotiorum* -induced
- leaf damage, *BnaSTOP2* enhances the plant's resistance to diseases by effectively
- 957 modulating the expression of *BnaPAD3s* and *BnaA03.WRKY28*.



958

959 Figure 1. Generation of CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in *BnaSTOP2*.

960 (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of STOP2 in *Brassica napus* and Arabidopsis.

961 (B) The indel situation of the *Bnastop2* knockout site compared to the WT sequence.

The sgRNA target sites are marked with arrows, the PAM sequence is colored in red, and the indel mutations are colored in red.

964 (C) N content (mg/L) in roots and shoots of *Bnastop2* mutants. Differences between

965 mutants and WT are significant at p< 0.05 (*) and p<0.01 (**) by two-tailed Student's 966 t test.

967



968

Figure 2. Interaction proteins of BnaA02.1.STOP2 are involved in sulfate metabolism.

- 971 (A) KEGG analysis of interacting proteins with BnaA02.1.STOP2.
- 972 (**B** and **C**) Screening point-to-point and SLC validation of BnaA02.1.STOP2 Y2H
- 973 library.
- 974 (**D** and **E**) Representative 2-week-old WT and *Bnastop2* mutant seedlings grown on
- 975 media containing increasing concentrations of sulfate (SO⁴⁻), as indicated. S0, -S
- 976 medium; S30, including 30 µM S medium; S100, including100 µM S medium. Scale
- bar represents 2 cm. Differences between mutants and WT are significant at p < 0.05
- 978 (*) and p<0.01 (**) by two-tailed Student's t test ($10 \le n \le 20$).





980 Figure 3. *BnaSTOP2* is important for the accumulation of sulfate metabolites.

- 981 (A) KEGG analysis of differential metabolites in roots of WT and *Bnastop2* mutants.
- 982 (**B** and **C**) The content of GSL (ng/ml) in roots and leaves of WT and *Bnastop2*
- 983 mutants. SIN: Sinigrin; GER: Glucoerucin; GRA: Glucoraphanin; NAP: Gluconapin;
- 984 GAL: Glucoalyssin; 3-MP: Glucoiberverin; 4-MB: Glucosativin; 4M-3B:
- 985 Glucoraphenin; NEO: Neoglucobrassicin; 4MO-I3M: 4-Methoxyglucobrassicin;
- 986 GTN: Glucotropaeolin; GST: Gluconasturtiin.
- 987 (D and E) Relative RNA level of *BnaMYB28s* and *BnaGTR2s* in WT and *Bnastop2*988 mutants.
- 989 (F and G) Comparisons of GSH (ng/ml) in roots and siliques of WT and *Bnastop2*
- 990 mutants. For (**B**) to (**G**), differences between mutants and WT are significant at p < 0.05 (*) and n < 0.01 (**) but two tailed Student's t test
- 991 0.05 (*) and p<0.01 (**) by two-tailed Student's t test.



992

Figure 4. *Bnastop2* mutants DEGs are significantly enriched in amino acid and sulfur metabolism pathway.

- 995 (A) KEGG analysis of down-regulated genes in roots of *Bnastop2* mutants and WT.
- (B) Up-regulated genes of sulfur metabolism related genes in roots of *Bnastop2*mutants and WT.
- 998 (C) DEGs of GSL metabolism related genes in roots of *Bnastop2* mutants and WT.
- 999 (**D** and **E**) GSEA analysis of the KEGG terms enriched in up- or down-regulated
- 1000 genes in the roots of *Bnastop2* mutants compared with the WT. NES, p-value and
- 1001 FDR value are presented.
- 1002 (F) KEGG analysis of differentially expressed genes in siliques of *Bnastop2* mutants1003 and WT.
- 1004 (G) DEGs of GSL metabolism related genes in siliques of *Bnastop2* mutants and WT.
- 1005 (H) GSEA analysis of the KEGG terms enriched in up- or down-regulated genes the
- siliques of *Bnastop2* mutants compared with the WT. GSEA, Gene Set Enrichment
- 1007 Analysis; NES, normalized enrichment score.



1008

1009 Figure 5. *BnaSTOP2* has an important impact on defense response.

- 1010 (A and B) Identification of resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, on leaves of
- 1011 *Bnastop2* mutants (n=10).
- 1012 (C and D) Identification of resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, on leaves of
- 1013 BnaSTOP2-OE mutants (n=14). For (B) and (D), differences between mutants and
- 1014 WT are significant at p < 0.05 (*) and p < 0.01 (**) by two-tailed Student's t test.
- 1015 (E) KEGG analysis of up-regulated genes in *BnaSTOP2-OE* mutants and Xiaoyun (48
 1016 hpi).
- 1017 (F) Normalized gene expressed levels (TPM) from RNAseq data for *BnaPAD3s* and
- 1018 BnaA03.WRKY28 in BnaSTOP2-OE mutants and Xiaoyun (48 hpi). Differences
- 1019 between mutants and Xiaoyun are significant at p < 0.05 (*) and p < 0.01 (**) by two-
- 1020 tailed Student's t test.



1021

Figure 6. Zinc finger transcription factor *STOP2* is a new regulator of sulfur metabolism and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* resistance in *Brassica napus*.

During the process of sulfur absorption in the root system, BnaSTOP2 affects sulfur 1024 absorption by affecting the expression levels of sulfur transporters (SULTRs), as well 1025 as sulfur metabolism in sulfur roots by affecting the expression levels of SAL1, OASB, 1026 and APR3. Moreover, when the siliques undergo sulfur pooling, BnaSTOP2 exerts 1027 influence over the accumulation of GSL by modulating the expression of BnaMYB28s 1028 and BnaGTR2s. BnaSTOP2 also impacts the accrual of stored proteins in seeds 1029 through interactions with At2S2.Additionally, in the face of S. sclerotiorum -induced 1030 leaf damage, *BnaSTOP2* enhances the plant's resistance to diseases by effectively 1031 1032 modulating the expression of BnaPAD3s and BnaA03.WRKY28.